REMARKS

Applicants have reviewed the Final Office Action mailed June 1, 2004 and cited references therein. Applicants have noted that claims 82-116, 119, 127 and 133 were indicated as being allowable.

Applicant has amended claim 120 to include the limitation of claim 118. Claim 120 was amended to depend on allowed claim 119. Applicant canceled claims 117 and 118. Claims 121, 122 and 123 were also amended to depend on claim 119. Applicant submits that claims 120-124 are in allowable form.

Applicant has amended claim 128 to include the limitation of claim 126. Claim 128 was amended to depend on allowed claim 127. Applicant canceled claims 125 and 126. Claim 129 was also amended to depend on claim 127. Applicant submits that claims 128 and 129 are in allowable form.

Applicant has amended claim 134 to include the limitation of claim 132. Claim 134 was amended to depend on allowed claim 133. Applicant canceled claims 130-132. Claims 135 and 136 were also amended to depend on claim 133. Applicant submits that claims 134-136 are in allowable form.

Claims 117, 118, 121-126, 129-132 and 136 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112(2) as being indefinite. Specifically the Examiner objected to several antecedent basis problems in claims 117, 125 and 130. Applicant has canceled claims 117, 125 and 130. Applicant submits that all the pending claims are in proper form pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 112.

Applicant submits the claims presently pending in the above-identified patent application are in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is earnestly solicited. However, should the Examiner determine the Amendment does not place the above-identified patent application in

allowable form, it is requested that the amendment be entered to place the patent application in better form for purposes of appeal.

Respectfully submitted, / FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & McKEE

By:

Reg. No. 19,504 1100 Superior Avenue, 7th Floor/ Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2579 Telephone: (216) 861-5582 Facsimile: (216) 241-1666